

Cambridge International A Level

ENGLISH LANGUAGE

9093/32

Paper 3 Language Analysis

February/March 2025

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the February/March 2025 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **13** printed pages.

PUBLISHED**Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

English & Media subject specific general marking principles

(To be read in conjunction with the Generic Marking Principles (and requiring further guidance on how to place marks within levels))

Components using level descriptors:

- We use level descriptors as a guide to broad understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a level.
- Level descriptors are a means of general guidance and should not be interpreted as hurdle statements.
- Where indicative content notes are supplied for a question, these are *not* a prescription of required content, and must not be treated as such. Alternative correct points and unexpected answers in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the knowledge and skills demonstrated.
- While we may have legitimate expectations as to the ground most answers may occupy, we must at all times be prepared to meet candidates on their chosen ground, provided it is relevant ground (e.g. clearly related to and derived from a relevant passage/text and meeting the mark scheme requirements for the question).

Annotations guidance for centres

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
|---|------------------------|
|  | Assessment Objective 1 |
|  | Assessment Objective 2 |
|  | Assessment Objective 4 |
|  | Assessment Objective 5 |
|  | Repetition |
|  | Omission |
|  | Requires clarity |
|  | Seen |
| [Highlighter] | Inaccurate |
|  | Not relevant |

English Language specific marking instructions:**AO1 Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts. (Understanding)**

- Marks should be awarded for the accuracy of the candidate's understanding of the text and its features, **not** for any analysis of them which is recognised under AO3.
- Where comparison is required, marks should be awarded for the candidate's recognition of similarities and differences between the texts and their features, **not** for any consequent analysis.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

AO2 Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes. (Writing)

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's written expression (range of features used and accuracy) and the development of their writing (its organisation and relevance to task and audience).
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. (Conceptualisation)

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's understanding of the relevant issues, concepts, methods and approaches represented both in the text(s) and in their wider studies.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. (Data handling)

- Marks should be awarded equally on the basis of the level of the candidate's selection, analysis and synthesis of language data.
- When awarding a 'best-fit' mark within a Level for each AO, consider each bullet point equally.

Higher marks should be awarded where candidates identify patterns and offer thoughtful and plausible explanations for the features they are discussing.

Weaker answers may spot features or describe individual cases of language change without reference to broader considerations or examples.

Section A: Language change

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 1 | <p>Read <u>Texts A, B and C</u>.</p> <p>Analyse how <u>Text A</u> exemplifies the various ways in which the English language has changed over time. In your answer, you should refer to specific details from <u>Texts A, B and C</u>, as well as to ideas and examples from your wider study of language change.</p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table A.</p> <p>Additional guidance:</p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p>Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on lexis, grammar and syntax, orthography and graphology in separate sections.</p> <p>Responses might feature the following:</p> <p>Lexis and semantics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>2d</i> is used as an abbreviation for <i>2nd</i>, which would be non-standard today. • The verb <i>unloosed</i> is used to mean ‘release’, which sounds archaic. The form ‘unleashed’, having a similar meaning, has become increasingly common as <i>unloosed</i> has decreased, as exemplified in Text C. • The verb <i>quitting</i> seems to have undergone some semantic change as this would now be likely to be used in relation to employment or habits such as smoking. Here it is used to mean ‘to leave’ but for a short time only. • The verb phrase <i>repair to</i> is used to mean <i>to go to</i>. Today this is more likely to be used in connection with fixing or mending something, as suggested in Text B. • <i>Forthwith</i> is now used with an increased level of formality. • The adjective <i>profitable</i> tends to relate to business and financial matters today, suggesting some semantic change since it is used to describe a pastime here. • The archaic noun <i>maiden</i> is used to refer to a young unmarried girl. • The adjective <i>rude</i> is used here as a synonym of ‘basic’ or ‘simple’. | 25 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|---|-------|
| 1 | <p>Grammar and syntax</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some sentences sound formal due to the syntax e.g. <i>are three in number</i>. • The sentences <i>I have now been five days at Amergau</i> and <i>Meantime...</i> seem elliptical since today they would be likely to include additional prepositions. • Word order would also now be different - <i>I have now been five days at Amergau</i> would be 'I have now ben at Amergau for five days'. • A semi-colon is used before <i>but</i> which is non-standard in contemporary English. <p>Orthography</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standardisation of spelling is seen in the text. • Where a semi-colon is used, this has additional spacing around it, compared to today's presentation. • The compound <i>down stairs</i> is written here as two words, whereas it would tend to be spelt as one word today. This reflects the tendency over time for compound words to begin by being written with a space, then to be hyphenated, then written solidly without a hyphen. <p>Graphology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The text is in a single block with no paragraphing which is typical of texts at the time. | |

Marking criteria for Section A Question 1**Table A**

| Level | AO2: Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes. 5 marks | AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. 5 marks | AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. 15 marks |
|--------------|--|--|---|
| 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sophisticated expression, with a high level of accuracy Content is fully relevant; ideas are developed throughout in a sophisticated manner <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sophisticated understanding of, and insightful reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insightful and fully appropriate selection of language data from all three sources Sophisticated and insightful analysis of language data Sophisticated synthesis of evidence from all three sources of language data <p style="text-align: right;">13–15 marks</p> |
| 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective expression, with a few minor errors which do not impede communication Content is relevant; ideas are developed in an effective manner <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detailed understanding of, and effective reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effective and appropriate selection of language data from all three sources Detailed and effective analysis of language data Effective synthesis of evidence from all three sources of language data <p style="text-align: right;">10–12 marks</p> |
| 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear expression, with occasional errors which do not impede communication Content is relevant; ideas are developed clearly <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear understanding of, and appropriate reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear and appropriate selection of language data from at least two sources Clear analysis of language data Clear synthesis of evidence from at least two sources of language data <p style="text-align: right;">7–9 marks</p> |

| Level | AO2: Write effectively, creatively, accurately and appropriately, for a range of audiences and purposes. 5 marks | AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. 5 marks | AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. 15 marks |
|--------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expression is clear but may not flow easily, with frequent errors which generally do not impede communication • Content is mostly relevant; ideas are developed in a limited manner <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of, but generally appropriate reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited and generally appropriate selection of language data; may be uneven coverage • Limited analysis of language data • Some attempt to synthesise evidence from sources of language data <p style="text-align: right;">4–6 marks</p> |
| 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic expression, with frequent errors which impede communication • Content may lack relevance in parts; minimal development of ideas <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic understanding of, and minimal reference to, linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic and minimal selection of language data • Basic analysis of language data • Minimal attempt to synthesise evidence from sources of language data <p style="text-align: right;">1–3 marks</p> |
| 0 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> |

Section B: Child language acquisition

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 2 | <p>Read the following text, which is a transcription of a conversation between Fabian (age 4 years), his sister, Effie (age 8 years) and their grandmother, whom they call 'Granny'. They have just arrived home from school.</p> <p>Analyse ways in which the speakers are using language in this conversation. In your answer, you should refer to specific details from the transcription, as well as to ideas and examples from your wider study of child language acquisition.</p> <p>Mark according to the levels of response marking criteria in Table B.</p> <p>Additional guidance:</p> <p>The comments below are illustrative and are not intended to be exhaustive. Candidates may make other valid comments that should be credited.</p> <p>Candidates may organise their response in any appropriate way. They are not required to focus on child-directed speech and children's language features in separate sections.</p> <p>Responses might feature the following:</p> <p>Child-directed speech</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The grandmother is very clear in her instructions <i>put the school bags in the hall please but first you need to bring me your water bottles and empty lunchboxes.</i> • Although the grandmother is direct when addressing Fabian in particular, she uses a polite tone, e.g. <i>please</i> and <i>would you like a cup of tea?</i> • There are tonal shifts through one of increasing frustration <i>do you indeed</i> to a more measured and encouraging <i>sit here next to me and we'll look at it together.</i> • The grandmother uses instructions more than questions, at times separating her instructions with pauses in seconds in an attempt to ensure her message is understood. This technique is different from what might be expected in parentese where questions are used to encourage response. | 25 |

PUBLISHED

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|----------|--|-------|
| 2 | <p>Children’s language features</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The children do not always observe turn-taking competently, with frequent interruptions. • Effie’s exchanges are mostly fulfilled adjacency pairs. • At age 8 her phonological competence appears complete; the polysyllabic <i>chocolate</i> and <i>microwave</i> for example illustrate a range of correctly pronounced phonemes. • This contrasts with Fabian’s phonological competence e.g. /jesdeɪ/ with his omission of the central syllable, and deletion of /r/ in the consonant cluster /gænrɪ/. • Further evidence of emerging phonological competence is illustrated in his substitution of /f/ in the initial consonant cluster /frəʊ/. • Fabian uses repetition to emphasise his meaning e.g. <i>all all all</i>. • He also uses stressed syllables e.g. <i>do</i> and increases his volume in <i>CUPPA TEA</i>. • Although Fabian is aware of having to be more correct e.g. <i>i want a cup of tea</i> in his grandmother’s presence he slips into the colloquial <i>cuppa</i> which is possibly what he is used to hearing at home. • Competence in pluralisation is incomplete e.g. <i>two cuppa teas</i>. • Similarly tense markers are not consistent e.g. <i>maked</i> and <i>it’s not for /frəʊ/</i>. <p>References to relevant theories and research should be integrated into the response and may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The grandmother tends to use negative reinforcement e.g. <i>and again (1) i beg your pardon ↗</i> although Fabian’s response does not necessarily fulfil her expectations e.g. <i>better (.) but not perfect</i> (Skinner). • Chomsky’s notion of LAD may be evident in Fabian’s attempt at sequencing of numbers <i>two three five</i> and the virtuous error in tense <i>maked</i>. • Halliday’s Regulatory function is much in evidence in Fabian’s frequent <i>i want</i>. • Effie appears to have reached Piaget’s concrete operational stage as she is able to distinguish and invert cause and effect in <i>Fabians wont be empty granny (.) he never eats his lunch</i> whereas Fabian’s egocentric manner illustrates he has reached the preoperational stage although his utterances are post-telegraphic e.g. <i>i like the animals and i make up the names</i>. • The role of Vygotsky’s More Knowledgeable Other (MKO) is taken up by Effie more frequently than by the grandmother e.g. <i>Fabian you do not</i>; at times she also acts as MKO to the grandmother in her explanations of household routine e.g. <i>its cos hes allowed to have the small ball inside but only to roll it and not to throw it</i>. | |

Marking criteria for Section B Question 2**Table B**

| Level | AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts. 5 marks | AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. 15 marks | AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. 5 marks |
|--------------|---|---|--|
| 5 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sophisticated understanding of text (meaning/context/audience) • Insightful reference to characteristic features <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sophisticated understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches • Insightful reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts • Insightful reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by fully appropriate theorists <p style="text-align: right;">13–15 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sophisticated selection, analysis and synthesis of language data <p style="text-align: right;">5 marks</p> |
| 4 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed understanding of text (meaning/context/audience) • Effective reference to characteristic features <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches • Effective reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts • Effective reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by appropriate theorists <p style="text-align: right;">10–12 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed selection, analysis and synthesis of language data <p style="text-align: right;">4 marks</p> |

| Level | AO1: Read and demonstrate understanding of a wide variety of texts. 5 marks | AO4: Demonstrate understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches. 15 marks | AO5: Analyse and synthesise language data from a variety of sources. 5 marks |
|--------------|--|--|---|
| 3 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear understanding of text (meaning/context/audience) • Clear reference to characteristic features <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and approaches • Clear reference to wider study of linguistic issues and concepts • Clear reference to linguistic methods and approaches taken by appropriate theorists <p style="text-align: right;">7–9 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear selection, analysis and synthesis of language data <p style="text-align: right;">3 marks</p> |
| 2 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of text (meaning/context/audience) • Limited reference to characteristic features <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches • Some limited reference to wider study of linguistic issues and/or concepts • Some limited reference to linguistic methods and/or approaches taken by generally appropriate theorists <p style="text-align: right;">4–6 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited selection, analysis and/or synthesis of language data <p style="text-align: right;">2 marks</p> |
| 1 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic understanding of text (meaning/context/audience) • Minimal reference to characteristic features <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic understanding of linguistic issues, concepts, methods and/or approaches • Minimal reference to wider study of linguistic issues and/or concepts • Minimal reference to linguistic methods and/or approaches taken by theorists <p style="text-align: right;">1–3 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basic selection, analysis and/or synthesis of language data <p style="text-align: right;">1 mark</p> |
| 0 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No creditable response <p style="text-align: right;">0 marks</p> |